302 North 1st Avenue, Suite 300 ▲ Phoenix, Arizona 85003
Phone (602) 254-6300 ▲ FAX (602) 254-6490
E-mail: mag@mag.maricopa.gov ▲ Web site: www.mag.maricopa.gov

May 8, 2006

TO:

Members of the MAG Regional Council Executive Committee and

Members of the Building Lease Working Group

FROM:

Mayor Keno Hawker, City of Mesa, Chair

SUBIECT:

MEETING NOTIFICATION AND TRANSMITTAL OF TENTATIVE AGENDA FOR THE

JOINT MAG REGIONAL COUNCIL EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE AND THE BUILDING

LEASE WORKING GROUP

Monday, May 15, 2006 - 12:00 noon MAG Office, Suite 200 - Cholla Room

302 North 1st Avenue, Phoenix

Please park in the garage under the Compass Bank Building. Bring your ticket to the meeting, parking will be validated. For those using transit, the Regional Public Transportation Authority will provide transit tickets for your trip. For those using bicycles, please lock your bicycle in the bike rack in the garage.

Pursuant to Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), MAG does not discriminate on the basis of disability in admissions to or participation in its public meetings. Persons with a disability may request a reasonable accommodation, such as a sign language interpreter, by contacting Denise McClafferty at the MAG office. Requests should be made as early as possible to allow time to arrange the accommodation.

A joint meeting of the MAG Regional Council Executive Committee and the Building Lease Working Group (BLWG) has been scheduled for the time and place noted above. Members of the Committee and the Working Group may attend either in person, by telephone conference, or by video conference. If you have any questions, please contact me at (480) 644-2388 or Dennis Smith, MAG Executive Director, at (602) 254-6300.

TENTATIVE AGENDA

I. Call to Order

The meetings of the Executive Committee and the Building Lease Working Group (BLWG) will be called to order.

2. Call to the Audience

An opportunity will be provided to members of the public to address the Executive Committee and the BLWG on items not scheduled on the agenda that fall under the jurisdiction of MAG, or on items on the agenda for discussion but not for action. Members of the public will be requested not to exceed a three minute time period for their comments. A total of 15 minutes will be provided for the Call to the Audience agenda item, unless the Executive Committee or the BLWG requests an exception to this limit. Please note that those wishing to comment on action agenda items will be given an opportunity at the time the item is heard.

COMMITTEE ACTION REQUESTED

2. Information and discussion.

ITEMS PROPOSED TO BE HEARD BY THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE AND THE BUILDING LEASE WORKING GROUP

3. <u>Update on the Regional Governmental Service</u> <u>Center</u>

At the April 17, 2006 Executive Committee meeting, staff was directed to meet with the neighborhood before entering into contracts with professional services consultants. Since that time, staff has contacted the President of the Roosevelt Action Association (RAA) who suggested that we attend the next RAA meeting on May 17, 2006 to discuss the project. Staff is anticipating a meeting with the RAA leadership prior to attending a neighborhood meeting to discuss the preliminary ideas regarding this project. Staff is anticipating requesting legal and program management services regarding this project.

4. Adjournment of the Building Lease Working Group

3. Information, discussion and approval to authorize the Executive Director to enter into the following contracts: 1) Real Estate Legal Services with Mariscal, Weeks, McIntyre & Friedlander at a rate of \$250 per hour for real estate services and \$175 per hour for general oversight; and 2) Project Management Services with 3D/I Group at a rate of \$95 per hour, not to exceed \$100,000 for the first year.

ITEMS PROPOSED FOR CONSENT FOR THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE*

5. <u>Approval of the Executive Committee Consent Agenda</u>

Prior to action on the consent agenda, members of the audience will be provided an opportunity to comment on consent items that are being presented for action. Following the comment period, Committee members may request that an item be removed from the consent agenda. Consent items are marked with an asterisk (*).

- *5A. <u>Approval of the April 17, 2006 Executive</u> <u>Committee Meeting Minutes</u>
- *5B. <u>Consultant Contract for MAG Socioeconomic</u> <u>Model Enhancements Support</u>

The FY 2006 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget includes \$35,000 for enhancing the MAG socioeconomic models. MAG is in the process of developing a statewide socioeconomic model, Arizona Socioeconomic Modeling, Analysis and Reporting Toolbox (AZ-SMART). The AZ-SMART socioeconomic modeling suite will primarily support socioeconomic activities at MAG, AZ-SMART will build upon a model that MAG currently uses, the Subarea Allocation Model (SAM). This model was developed by Planning Technologies. Since Planning Technologies is the developer of SAM, it is uniquely able to provide detailed technical guidance and support on the programming and implementation for AZ-SMART. recommending that Planning Technologies be selected to provide socioeconomic model enhancements in the amount of \$35,000. This item is on the May 10, 2006 Management Committee agenda to recommend approval of this consultant. Please refer to the enclosed material.

*5C. Consultant Selection for the MAG Highway Performance Monitoring System Traffic Count Study - Phase II

> The FY 2006 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget includes funding to conduct traffic counts for the Highway

5. Approval of the Consent Agenda.

- 5A. Review and approve the April 17, 2006 Executive Committee meeting minutes.
- 5B. Approval of the selection of Planning Technologies to support enhancing the MAG socioeconomic model for an amount not to exceed \$35,000.

5C. Approval of the selection of Field Data Services of Arizona to conduct the MAG HPMS Traffic Count Study - Phase II for an amount not to exceed \$41,760.

Performance Monitoring System (HPMS). A request for proposals was advertised for the second phase of the MAG HPMS Traffic Count Study. One proposal was received from Field Data Services of Arizona. A multi-jurisdictional review team evaluated the proposal and recommended to MAG that Field Data Services of Arizona be selected. The contractor will conduct bi-directional volume and classification counts for five continuous days, on three separate occasions, in 2006. The counts will be conducted on the same route that the MAG Silt. Loading Study is measuring particulate emissions. This item is on the May 10, 2006 Management Committee agenda to recommend approval of this consultant. Please refer to the enclosed material.

*5D. Consultant Selection to Provide MAG Travel

Demand Software and Related Services and

Amendment to the MAG FY 2006 MAG Unified

Planning Work Program and Annual Budget

A core business of MAG is to provide transportation modeling services for the MAG member agencies. EMME/2, the software used for this computer modeling, has been in use At the October 27, 2005 since 1993. Transportation Review Committee meeting, staff discussed exploring other software that would provide more features for this region. This would provide MAG with new travel demand software in order to expand the model and achieve a high degree of GIS integration for modeling activities. A Request for Proposals was advertised and four proposals were received. A multi-jurisdictional evaluation team interviewed the firms and evaluated their software packages. On April 20, 2006, the evaluation team recommended to MAG the selection of Caliper Corporation to provide software and related services for an amount not to exceed \$80,000. An amendment to the FY 2006 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget is needed to include this project with the funding being provided through MAG contingency funds. This item is on the May 10, 2006 Management Committee agenda to recommend approval of this consultant. Please refer to the enclosed material.

5D. Approval of the selection of Caliper Corporation to provide travel demand software and related services for an amount not to exceed \$80,000, and to amend the FY 2006 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget to include this project with funding being provided through MAG contingency funds.

*5E. Department of Housing and Urban Development Stuart B. McKinney Continuum of Care Consolidated Application Process for Maricopa County

In April 2006, the MAG Continuum of Care Regional Committee on Homelessness received 48 Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) applications from nonprofit organizations in the region for homeless assistance funding. A rating and review process was administered by the Valley of the Sun United Way. The strategic rating and ranking of applications were completed on April 26, 2006. The application, recommendations, and rankings are due to HUD on May 25, 2006. The rankings are being provided for information and discussion. This item is also on the May 10, 2006 Management Committee agenda. Please refer to the enclosed material.

*5F. <u>Consultant Selection for the MAG PM-10</u> <u>Source Attribution and Deposition Study</u>

> The FY 2006 Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget includes \$200,000 to perform a PM-10 Source Attribution and Deposition Study. A request for proposals was advertised and six proposals were received from Clarkson University, HydroBio, Sierra Research, Sonoma Technology, Technical & Business Systems, and Weston Solutions. A multi-agency team met to evaluate the proposals. Due to the important role this Study will play in helping the region attain the PM-IO standard, the evaluation team recommended the selection of Sierra Research for an amount not to exceed \$200,000, and Technical & Business Systems, for an amount not to exceed \$165,000. The additional funding of \$165,000 will be obtained from MAG Contingency Funds. This item is on the May 10, 2006 Management Committee agenda to recommend approval of this consultant. Please refer to the enclosed material.

*5G. Amendment to the FY 2006 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget to Include Additional Funds From the Arizona Department of Housing

5E. Information and discussion.

5F. Approval that Sierra Research be selected for an amount not to exceed \$200,000 and Technical & Business Systems be selected for an amount not to exceed \$165,000, to perform the MAG PM-10 Source Attribution and Deposition Study.

5G. Approve amending the FY 2006 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget to reflect the increase in funding from the Arizona Department of Housing from \$35,000 to

On May 2, 2006, the Arizona Department of Housing notified MAG that the MAG homeless contract will increase to \$47,000. It is necessary to amend the FY 2006 Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget to receive the funds in June. The increase in funds from \$35,000 to \$47,000 will be for the period of June 1, 2006 to June 30, 2007. Future contracts will reflect a twelve-month contract term each fiscal year for \$35,000. These funds support homeless planning and coordination by staffing the MAG Continuum of Care Regional Committee on Homelessness. Please refer to the enclosed material.

\$47,000 to support homeless planning and coordination at MAG.

ITEMS TO BE HEARD BY THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

6. Compensation Study Update

On February 13, 2006, the Executive Committee agreed to conduct an update to the 2004 Compensation Study with Public Sector Personnel Consultants (PSPC). PSPC will provide the Executive Committee with the Draft Summary of Findings and Recommendations for the MAG Compensation Study Update. This summary will be sent under separate cover.

7. Approval of the Draft FY 2007 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget

In May 2006, the Draft FY 2007 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget is being presented for approval. As adjustments to the budget were made, the draft budget document was updated and presented to the Management Committee, Regional Council Executive Committee, and Regional Council. The draft budget is complete except for any adjustments needed from a final recommendation on the compensation update and final calculation of the FY 2007 Dues and Assessments. Approved compensation adjustments will be incorporated into the Draft FY 2007 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget that will be presented to the Regional Council on May 24, 2006. The population numbers from the 2005 Census Survey for calculation of the FY 2007 Dues and

6. For information, discussion and possible action to approve the recommendations from the MAG Compensation Study.

7. Recommend approval of the resolution adopting the Draft FY 2007 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget and the member dues and assessments, pending receipt of the 2005 Census Survey numbers.

Assessments are expected at the end of June. Please refer to the enclosed material.

8. <u>Legislative Update</u>

An update will be provided on legislative issues of interest. Please refer to the enclosed material.

9. <u>Adjournment</u>

8. Information, discussion and possible action.

MINUTES OF THE

MARICOPA ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS REGIONAL COUNCIL EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING

April 17, 2006 MAG Offices

302 North 1st Avenue, Phoenix, Arizona

MEMBERS ATTENDING

Mayor Keno Hawker, Mesa, Chair Mayor Woody Thomas, Litchfield Park, Vice Chair Mayor Mary Manross, Scottsdale, Treasurer Mayor Wendy Feldman-Kerr, Queen Creek * Mayor Phil Gordon, Phoenix Mayor Joan Shafer, Surprise Mayor James M. Cavanaugh, Goodyear

- * Not present
- # Participated by videoconference or telephone conference call

1. Call to Order

The Executive Committee meeting was called to order by Chair Keno Hawker at 12:00 p.m. Chair Hawker stated that transit tickets were available from Valley Metro/RPTA for those using transit to come to the meeting. Parking validation was available from MAG staff for those who parked in the parking garage.

2. Call to the Audience

Chair Hawker noted that according to MAG's public comment process, members of the audience who wish to speak are requested to fill out the public comment cards. He stated that there is a three minute time limit. Public comment is provided at the beginning of the meeting for items that are not on the agenda that are within the jurisdiction of MAG, or non-action agenda items that are on the agenda for discussion or information only. Chair Hawker stated that there were no public comment cards received.

3. Approval of Consent Agenda

Chair Hawker stated that public comment would be heard before action was taken on the consent items. Each speaker is provided with a total of three minutes to comment on the consent agenda. After hearing public comments, any member of the Committee can request that an item be removed from the consent agenda and considered individually. Chair Hawker stated that agenda items #3A and #3B were on the consent agenda. Chair Hawker stated that there were no public comment cards received.

Mayor Thomas moved to approve consent agenda items #3A and #3B. Mayor Cavanaugh seconded, and the motion carried unanimously.

3A. Approval of the March 20, 2006 Executive Committee Meeting Minutes

The Executive Committee, by consent, approved the March 20, 2006 meeting minutes.

3B. Consultant Selection for the MAG Specifications and Details Inventory Project

The Executive Committee, by consent, approved the selection of AZ-FLASH Companies, LLC for the MAG Specifications and Details Inventory Project for an amount not to exceed \$80,000. The FY 2005 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget includes \$80,000 of Surface Transportation Program funding for the development of the MAG Specifications and Details Inventory Project. The desired results of this inventory effort are to obtain information concerning the character and extent of existing agency supplements to the MAG Standard Specifications and Details for Public Works Construction, as well as gather and categorize the supplemental specifications and details. A request for proposals was advertised and two proposals were received. A multi-agency review team met to evaluate the proposals on March 22, 2006. The evaluation team is recommending that the firm AZ-FLASH Companies, LLC be selected for the MAG Specifications and Details Inventory Project for an amount not to exceed \$80,000.

4. <u>Discussion and Update on the Draft FY 2007 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget</u>

Becky Kimbrough reviewed the FY 2007 Draft Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget. She stated that each year, the MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget is developed incrementally in conjunction with member agency and public input. The Work Program is reviewed each year by the federal agencies and approved by the Regional Council in May. Ms. Kimbrough stated that the elements of the budget document are about 80 percent complete. Ms. Kimbrough provided an update on the Intermodal Planning Group (IPG) meeting. She noted that this meeting was held on April 6, 2006 with the Federal Highway Administration, Federal Transit Administration, Valley Metro, Valley Metro Rail and ADOT. She noted that the draft budget was reviewed at this meeting. Ms. Kimbrough stated that the Federal Transit Administration asked that their federal emphasis areas be included along with Federal Highway Administration. She reviewed the project list and noted that MAG is recommending an additional \$250,000 be included in the Work Program to help cover staff administration costs at Valley Metro Rail. Discussion on the Southwest Valley West Pinal County Transportation project is currently taking place. She noted that MAG's estimated share of this project is budgeted at \$200,000. Ms. Kimbrough stated that the inflation factor being used for dues and assessments is the average CPU for the prior year. After recalculating, there is a decrease in the overall estimated dues and assessments of approximately \$20,106. She reported that overall the FY 2007 budge is 5.8 percent less than current year budget. There are two projects that account for most of this decrease. These projects include the Census Special Project at approximately \$4 million and the CENS project at approximately \$1.2 million.

Mayor Manross asked for more information on the \$250,000 to VMR. Mr. Smith stated that Proposition 400 included the 20-mile starter segment and extensions. VMR approached MAG and indicated that they would need some assistance in staffing the extension work. Mr. Smith noted that when rail goes beyond the 20-mile starter segment, it would not be appropriate to have those four cities funding the 20-mile starter segment to fund the extension work for the entire Valley.

He explained that MAG originally put \$250,000 in the budget to assist with that effort. MAG then received another request asking for an additional \$250,000. Mr. Smith explained that VMR has a \$740,000 staffing component for FY 2007. He recommended assisting VMR with this regional work. Mr. Smith stated that there needs to be more fine tuning of the RTP as it relates to the rail work. MAG has been assisting Valley Metro for many years with their staffing for bus and regional ride share. Mr. Smith explained that for the future, this means approximately \$1.5 million a year and MAG is recommending committing \$500,000 for FY 2007 to assist with staffing. Chair Hawker asked if this flows through the 20 year Regional Transportation Plan. Mr. Smith replied that MAG receives the Federal Transit Planning funds and this is definitely an eligible expense that could be considered each year. He noted that VMR would like a 5 year commitment, but MAG recommends that projects should be evaluated each year. Ms. Kimbrough added that the summary budget document, "MAG Programs in Brief" includes a list of new projects with summary narratives, new staff positions, and the budgeted resources need to implement these items. Chair Hawker thanked Ms. Kimbrough for her report.

5. Update on the Regional Governmental Service Center

Denise McClafferty provided an update on the Regional Governmental Service Center. She noted that at the March 20, 2006 joint meeting of the MAG Executive Committee and the Building Lease Working Group (BLWG), a consensus was reached that the McKinley and 1st Avenue site should be pursued. Also at this meeting, the Executive Director was authorized to request legal, financial and program management services. Ms. McClafferty reported that after reviewing the State Procurement Contract, City of Phoenix procurement and the Mohave Purchasing Agreement, the following recommendations are provided contingent upon resolution of outstanding design issues relating to the McKinley and 1st Avenue site:

Legal Services - Real Estate, Lease Agreements and Oversight

Staff is recommending that the MAG General Counsel, Mariscal, Weeks McIntyre & Friedlander, be used for legal services. Ms. McClafferty stated that this would include reviewing the development agreement, developing the lease-to-own agreements with the partnering agencies, and providing oversight. She noted that their rate for real estate services is \$250 per hour, and for general oversight is \$175 per hour. It was also suggested that the bond firm hired review the lease agreements, as well as the development agreement, to ensure a smooth financing process.

Project Management Services

Ms. McClafferty reported that staff reviewed the Mohave Purchasing Agreement for organizations that provide project management services. She noted that 3D/I Group was listed on the Mohave Purchasing Agreement and interviewed by staff. In addition, 3D/I Group came highly recommended by the City of Phoenix. She stated that they are currently providing project management services for the City of Phoenix hotel project. Ms. McClafferty stated that to assist in having owner representation in the construction of the building, staff is recommending 3D/I Group be used for project management services not to exceed \$100,000 for the first year.

Financial Advisory Services

Ms. McClafferty reported that these services are needed immediately in order to ensure that a complete review of all financial options are considered. Staff is recommending that the firm Peacock, Hislop, Staley and Given be used for financial advisory services. This firm is listed on the

State Procurement Contract. She stated that the state contract cost is \$.85 per \$1,000 of the total cost of the project, with a minimum fee of \$21,250 and the maximum fee is \$63,750. For a \$70 million project, the cost would be approximately \$59,500.

Bond Firm

Ms. McClafferty reported that Phoenix recommended three firms from their list of procured legal services that can provide bond services. These firms include, Squire Sanders & Dempsey, Greenberg Traurig, and Kutak Rock. She noted that staff will schedule interviews with these firms, and it is anticipated that a bonding firm will be recommended at a future meeting.

Ms. McClafferty stated that the Executive Committee and the BLWG suggested that staff work with the City of Phoenix and the neighborhood on any potential issues on the McKinley and 1st Avenue site. She noted that staff met with the Mayor Phil Gordon and Councilmember Peggy Neely who also requested that we work with the neighborhood association in that area regarding this project. Meetings are being scheduled with the neighborhood association and City representatives. She noted that the focus is on the design of the structure on 2nd Avenue.

Mr. Smith stated that there are several options for the 2^{nd} Avenue side of the building. He noted that his suggestion would be not to move forward until staff meets with the neighborhood association and city representatives.

Mr. Smith introduced Monique de los Rios Urban. He noted that Monique is a new staff member at MAG who will be helping out on this project. Ms. de los Rios Urban provided the members with a slide show of housing and retail from Portland as potential options for the 2nd Avenue side. The Committee then discussed the options of housing and retail. Mayor Thomas asked if a feasibility study was done for retail in that area. Mr. Smith noted that we also need to be aware of how much for-profit develop is in this project, as it could effect financing. Mr. Smith introduced Mr. Ken Lufkin who provided preliminary options for 2nd Avenue, including retail, housing and a child development center. Mr. Lufkin noted that any retail on this site would need parking. He also noted that the design for downtown is to provide shade for pedestrians. Mr. Smith then introduced Jay Tubbs from Ryan Company. Mr. Tubbs discussed the options for 2nd Avenue and noted that housing is being done throughout the Phoenix downtown area. He noted that there is a development on 3rd Street and Taylor using this option to wrap the garage. Mr. Smith asked Mr. Tubbs how much more it would cost per level to put the garage underground. Mr. Tubbs responded at least twice as much, but would have to check to verify a more accurate number. Mayor Thomas noted that he was in favor of wrapping the garage and it being separate from the regional office building.

Mr. Smith noted that staff is currently reviewing the proposed tenant improvement (TI) amounts to see if they need to be adjusted. He also added that the current traffic analysis zone has 2700 employees and should increase to 5700 employees by 2030. He noted that this building will provide 17 percent of that increase in employees. Mr. Smith stated that parking for this building would have to be further analyzed. Mayor Wendy Feldman-Kerr stated that larger space should also be available to accommodate today's larger vehicles. Mr. Smith stated that the first step should be to talk with the neighborhood. Mayor Manross agreed that the neighborhood should be the first step and the professional services should be put on hold until the next meeting. Mayor Shafer and Mayor Cavanaugh agreed. Chair Hawker stated that the consensus is to work with the neighborhood and he also suggested to continue the cost model of staying in the current building versus moving.

Mayor Manross moved to approve pursuing discussions with the neighborhood on this project before hiring professional services. Chair Hawker added that discussions should involve how to address the 2nd Avenue side without jeopardizing the integrity of the building for the regional agencies. Mayor Cavanaugh seconded, and the motion carried unanimously.

6. <u>Legislative Update</u>

Matthew Clark provided an update on legislative issue of interest to MAG. He notes that there are two issues of focus: eminent domain and transportation funding. There are a few bills that continue to move forward, but it appears that all will not move forward. Mr. Clark stated that it is our hope that a compromise may be reached sometime this week. He noted that regarding the transportation funding, budget discussions are taking place and transportation funding is being included in discussions with the Governor and the Legislature. It is our hope to have something to report in the near future. Mr. Smith stated that the TIP and Plan are being completed for an air quality conformity analysis and we probably will not have an answer from the legislature until it is to late to code the model. He noted that we will hear one of two things: 1) here is the money and that money could go into the regional area road fund account or another account or 2) earmarking projects and the Regional Council will have to decide to amend the TIP and Plan to accelerate those projects. Mr. Clark expanded on that by ensuring that we continue to explain that costs keep going up and that we are opposed to earmarking. He noted the discussion continues to be how do we spend the money. Mr. Smith stated that we are not hearing much of anything right now.

Mayor Manross stated that it will be difficult to put together a regional cooperative group like the TPC that spent the last three years working on the Plan and then it be changed. Mayor Thomas agreed. Mr. Smith added that it would also be difficult for the Regional Council to turn down funding with cost overruns. He stated that a more positive way to approach this would be to work with the other COGs on a state-wide effort. He noted that this would include discussing the gateway routes into and out of our region. He noted that this is a huge issue beyond our borders and that the state can help with this issues. Mayor Manross asked if there was anything the elected officials could do to help or to educate others. She noted that she is lobbying from a regional perspective. Mr. Smith replied that the most positive place for the funds would be the Regional Area Road Funds, which would help with overruns. He noted that one strategy would be to talk to the Governor and ask to keep the planning process in place and would appreciate assistance in putting the money in the RARF so it can go through the planning process. Mayor Shafer asked about Eminent Domain and HB 2381, construction sales tax. Mr. Clark replied that HB2381 has gone through the Rules Committee and has passed. The League is following this and believes that they have the votes to kill the bill. However, they are still educating some of those that are still on the fence. Mr. Clark stated that if this is not defeated in the Senate, then the Governor will veto. Chair Hawker thanked Mr. Clark for his report.

7. Adjournment

Mayor Shafer moved to adjourn the Executive Committee meeting. Mayor Cavanaugh seconded, and the motion carried unanimously.

There being no further busines	ess, the meet	ing was adjou	rned at 1:10 p	o.m.	
		Chair			
Secretary					

DATE:

May 8, 2006

SUBJECT:

Consultant Contract for MAG Socioeconomic Model Enhancements Support

SUMMARY:

The FY 2006 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget includes \$35,000 for enhancing the MAG socioeconomic models. MAG is in the process of developing a statewide socioeconomic model, Arizona Socioeconomic Modeling, Analysis and Reporting Toolbox (AZ-SMART). The AZ-SMART socioeconomic modeling suite will primarily support socioeconomic activities at MAG. AZ-SMART will build upon a model that MAG currently uses, the Subarea Allocation Model (SAM). This model was developed by Planning Technologies. Since Planning Technologies is the developer of SAM, the firm is uniquely able to provide detailed technical guidance and support on the programming and implementation for AZ-SMART. Staff is recommending that Planning Technologies be selected to provide socioeconomic model enhancements in the amount of \$35,000. This item is on the May 10,2006 Management Committee agenda to recommend approval.

PUBLIC INPUT:

None.

PROS & CONS:

PROS: AZ-SMART will build upon a model that MAG currently uses, the Subarea Allocation Model (SAM). SAM was developed by Planning Technologies. As the developer of SAM, Planning Technologies is uniquely able to provide detailed technical guidance and support on the programming and implementation for AZ-SMART.

CONS: None.

TECHNICAL & POLICY IMPLICATIONS:

TECHNICAL: The support of Planning Technologies in the development of the AZ-SMART modeling suite is essential as it is familiar with the programming and internal processes in SAM.

POLICY: The support provided by Planning Technologies will ensure that AZ-SMART will support the MAG transportation model, and better enable member agencies to determine demands on infrastructure and services.

ACTION NEEDED:

Approval of the selection of Planning Technologies to support enhancing the MAG socioeconomic model for an amount not to exceed \$35,000.

PRIOR COMMITTEE ACTIONS:

None.

CONTACT PERSON:

Anubhav Bagley, (602) 254-6300.

	·	

DATE:

May 8, 2006

SUBJECT:

Consultant Selection for the MAG Highway Performance Monitoring System Traffic Count Study - Phase II

SUMMARY:

The Fiscal Year 2006 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget includes funding to conduct traffic counts for the Highway Performance Monitoring System (HPMS). The first phase of the study conducted traffic counts on collector and local streets. A request for proposals was advertised on March 10, 2006 for the second phase of the MAG HPMS Traffic Count Study. One proposal was received by April 10, 2006 from Field Data Services of Arizona. On April 19, 2006, a multi-agency evaluation team comprising the City of Phoenix, Maricopa County, Arizona Department of Transportation, and MAG staff reviewed the proposal. The evaluation team recommended to MAG that Field Data Services of Arizona be selected as the consultant to conduct HPMS traffic counts on arterial streets for an amount not to exceed \$41,760. This item is on the May 10, 2006 Management Committee agenda for recommendation to approve.

PUBLIC INPUT:

No public input has been received.

PROS & CONS:

PROS: The procurement of consultant services will enable MAG to update the traffic counts on arterial streets for HPMS. The Maricopa Association of Governments provides updated HPMS traffic data to the Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT) on an annual basis. In addition, this study will provide traffic counts during MAG Silt Loading Study data collection periods that will be useful to MAG in developing the paved road emission inventories for the Five Percent Plan for PM-10.

CONS: None.

TECHNICAL & POLICY IMPLICATIONS:

TECHNICAL: The procurement of consulting services will provide MAG with 2006 HPMS traffic counts on arterials in the region. The consultant will conduct bidirectional volume counts at 58 locations, and classification counts at 38 locations, for five continuous days, during three different seasons. The counts will be conducted on the same route and at the same time that the MAG Silt Loading Study is measuring particulate emissions. This will allow MAG staff to evaluate the statistical relationships between traffic volumes and paved road PM-10 emissions and prepare more accurate emission inventories for the Five Percent Plan for PM-10.

POLICY: More accurate paved road emission estimates will assist MAG in proposing control measures that are most effective in attaining the PM-10 standards.

ACTION NEEDED:

Approval of the selection of Field Data Services of Arizona to conduct the MAG HPMS Traffic Count Study - Phase II for an amount not to exceed \$41,760.

PRIOR COMMITTEE ACTIONS:

On April 19, 2006, a multi-agency evaluation team reviewed the proposals. The evaluation team recommended to MAG that Field Data Services of Arizona be selected for the MAG HPMS Traffic Count Study - Phase II for an amount not to exceed \$41,760.

Proposal Evaluation Team

City of Phoenix: Joe Gibbs Maricopa County: Jo Crumbaker Arizona Department of Transportation: Beverly

Chenausky

MAG Staff: Cathy Arthur

CONTACT PERSON:

Cathy Arthur, MAG, (602) 254-6300.

DATE:

May 8, 2006

SUBJECT:

Consultant Selection to Provide MAG Travel Demand Software and Related Services and Amendment to the MAG FY 2006 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget

SUMMARY:

A core business of MAG is to provide transportation modeling services for the MAG member agencies. EMME/2, the software used for this computer modeling, has been in use since 1993. At the October 27, 2005 Transportation Review Committee meeting, staff discussed exploring other software that would provide more features for this region. This would provide MAG with new travel demand software in order to expand the model and achieve a high degree of GIS integration for modeling activities. The project will have a qualified consultant provide MAG with new travel demand software and implement MAG travel demand forecasting model. The software together with the converted MAG model will satisfy MAG's need to expand the model with greater network detail and many more zones, and also enable MAG to explore more advanced modeling techniques and achieve a high degree of GIS integration and GIS support for modeling activities.

A request for proposals was advertised in January 2006. Proposals were received from Caliper Corporation, Citilabs, Inc., INRO Consultants and PTV America, Inc. Caliper Corporation, Citilabs, Inc., and PTV America, Inc. met the requirements outlined in the request for proposals. On March 9, 2006, a multi-jurisdictional evaluation team consisting of MAG member agencies and MAG staff interviewed the firms and evaluated their software packages during the following month. On April 20, 2006, the evaluation team recommended to MAG the selection of Caliper Corporation to conduct the study in an amount not to exceed \$80,000. An amendment to the FY 2006 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget is needed to include this project, with funding being provided through MAG contingency funds. This item is on the May 10, 2006 Management Committee agenda to recommend approval.

PUBLIC INPUT:

None.

PROS & CONS:

PROS: The new software is more user-friendly, has better GIS integration, better graphics, allows more detail, and is much faster (It executes the MAG model five times faster than our current EMME/2 implementation).

CONS: None.

TECHNICAL & POLICY IMPLICATIONS:

TECHNICAL: This study will enable MAG to speed up the modeling process and reduce project turnaround time.

POLICY: Under MAG budget policies, "modifications causing the overall size of the budget to increase or decrease in total, require the approval of the Regional Council at a public meeting." This study will help to make better decisions with respect to auto, transit as well as truck travel in the region.

ACTION NEEDED:

Approval of the selection of Caliper Corporation to provide travel demand software and related services for an amount not to exceed \$80,000, and to amend the FY 2006 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget to include this project with funding being provided through MAG contingency funds.

PRIOR COMMITTEE ACTIONS:

On April 20, 2006, the evaluation team recommended to MAG the selection of Caliper Corporation to conduct the study in an amount not to exceed \$80,000.

PROPOSAL EVALUATION TEAM

Abhishek Dayal, Valley Metro Rail Ann MacCracken, Valley Metro Dave Wolfson, Maricopa County Department of Transportation Gregory Davies, City of Glendale Jamal Rahimi, City of Peoria John Lynch, City of Scottsdale Patrick Pittenger, City of Mesa Robert Yabes, City of Tempe

On October 27, 2005, the MAG Transportation Review Committee was informed that the FY 2006 budget would need to be amended to fund the MAG Transportation Model change.

MEMBERS ATTENDING

Maricopa County: Mike Ellegood,

Chairperson

ADOT: Bill Hayden for Dan Lance

Avondale: David Fitzhugh Chandler: Patrice Kraus *El Mirage: B.J. Cornwall Fountain Hills: Randy Harrel *Gila Bend: Lynn Farmer Gilbert: Tami Ryall Glendale: Terry Johnson Goodyear: Cato Esquivel *Guadalupe: Jim Ricker

*Litchfield Park: Mike Cartsonis Mesa: Jim Huling for Jeff Martin Paradise Valley: Robert M. Cicarelli

*Peoria: David Moody

Phoenix: Tom Callow, Vice Chairperson

*Queen Creek: Mark Young RPTA: Bryan Jungwirth Scottsdale: Mary O'Connor Surprise: Randy Overmyer *Tempe: Carlos De Leon *Wickenburg: Shane Dille

CONTACT PERSON:

Haidong Zhu, MAG (602) 254-6300

DATE:

May 8, 2006

SUBJECT:

Department of Housing and Urban Development Stuart B. McKinney Continuum of Care Consolidated Application Process for Maricopa County

SUMMARY:

On December 8, 1999, the Regional Council approved MAG becoming the responsible entity for a year-round homeless planning process which includes submittal of the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Stuart B. McKinney Continuum of Care Consolidated Application for the MAG Region. The Continuum of Care grant supports permanent and transitional housing as well as supportive services. Information on the 2006 application process is provided to inform MAG member agencies about this funding opportunity. Last year, the region received more than \$20 million for 48 homeless service providers. A total of \$106 million has been awarded to the region since 1999. It is anticipated that the region will be awarded comparably in 2006.

HUD has released the application, initiating the local application process. Notice of this application and time line has been e-mailed to members of the Regional Council, Management Committee and Continuum of Care, and intergovernmental staff. Technical assistance is available through MAG staff to any MAG member agency wanting to submit an application. The only opportunity for new projects is for permanent supportive housing (operations dollars) for chronically homeless individuals.

Applications were submitted on April 14, 2006. The Ranking and Review Committee, administered by the Valley of the Sun United Way, is in the process of a reviewing and scoring each application submitted. The tentative ranking order will be complete by April 25, 2006 and will be presented for information and discussion. The MAG Continuum of Care Regional Committee on Homelessness will approve the final rankings at the May 22, 2006 meeting. Those final rankings will be presented to the MAG Regional Council for information and discussion in May 2006. This item is on the May 10, 2006 Management Committee agenda for information and discussion.

PUBLIC INPUT:

The development of the vision, goals, objectives, evaluation criteria and local application was crafted based on public input from consumers, providers of services, local and state governmental representatives. The process of the local application was reviewed at the MAG Continuum of Care Regional Committee on Homelessness meeting on January 23, 2006. Public input was received at this meeting. One citizen stressed the importance of having a consistent review process to rank the applications. Another citizen said the Department of Housing and Urban Development should include families in the definition of chronically homeless people. The current definition only includes individuals. A third citizen said participation in the Homeless Street Count should be considered when ranking the applications.

At the April 5, 2006 MAG Management Committee meeting, a citizen voiced support of the Human Services items on the agenda and commented that human services items need more funding.

PROS & CONS:

PROS: A coordinated application and planning process is recommended by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development to maximize competitiveness for the federal Stuart B. McKinney Act funds. Working groups composed of stakeholders are involved from the inception of the planning process and remain involved throughout. Using this model, there has been widespread consensus about the types of issues related to homelessness in the Valley and assistance with information needed for the federal grant. The model emphasizes the need for collaboration among public and private agencies to ensure that individuals and families who are homeless are assisted in moving from homelessness to permanent housing and greater self-sufficiency. Since 1994, all applicants for funding from these programs have been required to demonstrate that their programs play an integral role in their community's Continuum of Care.

CONS: The HUD Continuum of Care grant is the largest block of funding that comes to the region for housing and services for persons who are homeless. Since the Continuum of Care is the mandated process for developing this grant application, submission of the application through the MAG Continuum of Care is necessary in order to draw down the funds. The Continuum of Care process is competitive with up to 20 percent of the Continua of Care defunded by HUD annually. If this region did not submit this grant through the existing MAG Continuum of Care process, potentially the funding for the region could be lost in perpetuity.

TECHNICAL & POLICY IMPLICATIONS:

TECHNICAL: The federal application process requires a tremendous amount of staff time to develop the community consensus and to gather the information requested by HUD. This task is complicated by the lack of a consistent data base on needs, services provided and funds expended. The planning process has identified the need to develop more complete data for the next application through a comprehensive countywide street count and shelter survey. The implementation of the Maricopa Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) will also assist in the collection of system wide data in future years.

POLICY: The MAG Continuum of Care Regional Committee on Homelessness was created at the request of HUD and with the approval of the MAG Regional Council. This policy level council is composed of a variety of representatives, including elected officials, representatives of the Governor's Office, several state legislators, several funding agencies, service providers, HUD, the religious community, advocates and consumers. This is a broad-based community committee that has agreed to take the responsibility for homeless planning and to ensure that a regional grant application is submitted each year. The Committee has been an effective method to discuss and move forward with regional solutions addressing homelessness.

ACTION NEEDED:

Information and discussion

PRIOR COMMITTEE ACTIONS:

The HUD application process was on the agenda for information and discussion at the April 5, 2006 Management Committee meeting.

MEMBERS ATTENDING

Ed Beasley, Glendale, Chair Bridget Schwartz-Manock for Jan Dolan, Scottsdale, Vice Chair

- # George Hoffman, Apache Junction Charlie McClendon, Avondale Carroll Reynolds, Buckeye
- * Jon Pearson, Carefree

- Usama Abujbarah, Cave Creek Patrice Kraus for Mark Pentz, Chandler
- * B.J. Cornwall, El Mirage Alfonso Rodriguez for Orlando Moreno, Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation
- # Tim Pickering, Fountain Hills
- * Lynn Farmer, Gila Bend

* Gila River Indian Community
George Pettit, Gilbert
Stephen Cleveland, Goodyear
Mark Johnson, Guadalupe
Mike Cartsonis for Darryl Crossman,
Litchfield Park
Christopher Brady, Mesa
Tom Martinsen, Paradise Valley
Terry Ellis, Peoria
Frank Fairbanks, Phoenix
Cynthia Seelhammer, Queen Creek
* Bryan Meyers, Salt River Pima-Maricopa

Indian Community
Jim Rumpeltes, Surprise
Amber Wakeman for Will Manley,
Tempe

- * Reyes Medrano, Tolleson
- * Shane Dille, Wickenburg Mark Fooks, Youngtown Dale Buskirk for Victor Mendez, ADOT David Smith, Maricopa County Bryan Jungwirth for David Boggs, Valley Metro/RPTA
- * Those members neither present nor represented by proxy.
- # Participated by telephone conference call.
- + Participated by videoconference call.

The Continuum of Care Regional Committee on Homelessness reviewed the planning process at the January 23, 2006 meeting.

MEMBERS ATTENDING:

Councilmember Greg Stanton, Phoenix, Chair

* Lorenzo Aguirre, City of El Mirage Roberto Armijo, Community Information & Referral Services

Maryann Beerling Thomas, New Arizona Family Allie Bones, DES/CPM Judy Bowden, Mesa United Way Brad Bridwell, US Vets

Tom Canasi, City of Tempe, Co-Vice Chair

* Kendra Cea, APS
Linda Snidecor for Kelly Dalton, Goodyear
Trinity Donovan, Valley of the Sun United
Way

* Ken Einbinder, US HUD Councilmember Steve Frate, Glendale Theresa James, City of Tempe Fred Karnas, Governor' Office, C Y & F Don Keuth, Phoenix Community Alliance, Co-Vice Chair

* Dan Lundberg, City of Surprise Mike McQuaid, HSC Carrie Mascaro, Catholic Social Services Meggan Medina for AZ Department of Housing

- * Guy Mikkelsen, Foundation for Senior Living
- * Darlene Newsom, United Methodist Outreach Ministries

Crucita Nuñez-Ochoa, Chicanos Por La Causa

- * Brenda Robbins, Department of Health Svcs.
- * Frank Scarpati, Community Bridges Stephen Sparks for Laura Skotnicki, Save the Family

Annette Stein, Maricopa County HS Jeff Taylor, Phoenix Rescue Mission Margaret Trujillo, Maricopa County Courts Kit Kelly for Councilmember Mike Whalen, Mesa

* Supervisor Mary Rose Wilcox, Maricopa County Ted Williams, AZ Behavioral Health Corp. Diana Yazzie Devine, Native American Connections

* Those members neither present nor represented by proxy.

CONTACT PERSON:

Amy St. Peter, Human Services Manager, 602-254-6300

Rank	Project Sponsor	Applicant	Project Name	Project Description	New/ Renewal	Funding Request	Location	
41	United Methodist Outreach Ministries	United Methodist Outreach Ministries	Nuture Care	Supportive services for homeless families.	ď	\$187,584	Phoenix	
5	Homeward Bound	Homeward Bound	Scattered Sites	Supportive services for homeless families.	Ж	\$26,250	Phoenix	
16	Prehab of AZ	Prehab of AZ	Faith House Transition Program	Transitional housing for homeless 64 homeless women and children.	ď	\$510,688	Mesa	
21.	Area Agency on Aging	Area Agency on Aging	HIV Case Mgt at Scattered Sites	Supportive services for homeless persons with HIV/AIDS.	ď	\$126,575	Phoenix, Mesa, Glendale, Tempe, Scottsdale, Peoria, Chandler, Avondale	
81	AZ Behavioral Health Corp.	Phoenix Shanti	Phoenix Shanti	4 bedroom house for homeless persons with HIV/AIDS.	Ж	\$70,456	Phoenix	
19	Save the Family	Save the Family	Transitional Housing and Supportive Services	Transitional housing for and supportive services for 78 homeless individuals.	ĸ	\$211,412	Mesa	
20	Community Information and Referral	Community Information and Referral	CONTACS	24-Hour bilingual hotline that connects homeless persons or victims of domestic violence to appropriate shelters with bed availability.	ď	\$176,753	Maricopa County	
21	YWCA	YWCA	Haven House	Transitional housing for 45 homeless families.	ď	\$201,671	Phoenix	
22	US Veterans	US Veterans	Arizona Veterans in Progress (VIP)	Supportive services for 250 homeless veterans.	Я	\$496,557	Phoenix	
23	HomeBase Youth Services	HomeBase Youth Services	Nicholas Transitional Living Center	Nicholas Transitional Living Transitional housing for 25 homeless youth ages 18- Center	Я	\$333,371	Phoenix	
24	Tumbleweed	Tumbleweed	Transitional Living Continuum for Youth (TLC)	Transitional housing and supportive services for 31homeless youth ages 14 through 22+F38	Я	\$437,698	Phoenix	
25	Southwest Behavioral Health Services	Southwest Behavioral Health Services	Homeless Haven	Transitional housing for homeless individuals with serious mental illness.	Я	\$205,977	Phoenix	
26	Homeward Bound	Homeward Bound	Thunderbird Family Village I and II	Family Village 80 transitional housing units for homeless and domestic violence families.	æ	\$313,761	Phoenix	

Location	Phoenix	Phoenix	Mesa	Tempe	Phoenix	Phoenix	Mesa	Phoenix	Phoenix	Phoenix	Phoenix	Phoenix
Funding Request	\$202,031	\$60,735	\$58,878	\$214,429	\$75,600	\$318,730	\$344,610	\$80,126	\$971,973	\$417,763	\$1,114,796	\$1,735,423
New/ Renewal	ď	œ	œ	α	ď	~	R	ď	æ	ď	ď	«
Project Description	12 permanent housing with intensive support services for homeless persons with mental illness.	Supportive services for homeless persons with HIV/AIDS at Stepping Stone transitional housing site.	Transitional housing for 84 homeless men	Supportive services for 25 homeless youth ages 12 through 21.	Outreach services for homeless individuals.	Supportive services for youth and young adults to assist them in meeting basic and social service needs to move off the streets and into housing.	Supportive services for women with substance abuse issues and young children	8 permanent housing units for persons with serious mental illness.	60 units of permanent housing for homeless persons with disabilities.	Transitional housing and support services for women and children who are victims of domestic violence.	Alternative shelter for homeless persons with serious mental illness, including veterans, HIV/AIDS.	Scattered site leased units with support services for 205 homeless persons with serious mental illness.
Project Name	Brookside	HIV Case Mgt at Stepping Stone	East Valley Mens Center	Tempe Youth Resource Center	Project Hope	Pappas Place Drop-In Center	Center for Hope	Lamplighter	Another Chance	Transitional Housing and Support Services for Victims of Domestic Violence	NOVA Safe Haven	Village (Permanent Housing for Disabled)
Applicant	Southwest Behavioral Health Services	Area Agency on Aging	Mesa Community Action Network	Tumbleweed Center for Youth Development	Salvation Army	Tumbleweed	Community Bridges	United Methodist Outreach Ministries	META Services	Sojourner Center	NOVA	AZ Behavioral Health Corp.
Project Sponsor	Arizona Behavioral Health Corp.	Area Agency on Aging	Mesa Community Action Network	Tumbleweed Center for Youth Development	Salvation Army	Tumbleweed	Community Bridges	United Methodist Outreach Ministries	META Services	Sojourner Center	AZ Behavioral Health Corp.	AZ Behavioral Health Corp.
Rank	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38

Rank	K Project Sponsor	Applicant	Project Name	Project Description	New/ Renewal	Funding Request	Location
39	AZ Behavioral Health Corp.	AZ Behavioral Health Corp.	HUD 64	64 scattered site leased units with intensive supportive services for homeless persons who are seriously mentally ill or dually diagnosed.	œ	\$499,972	Phoenix
40	AZ Behavioral Health Corp.	AZ Behavioral Health Corp.	Home of Their Own IV/HUD	130 scattered site leaded units with supportive services for homeless persons with disabilities.	ď	\$938,788	Phoenix
4	AZ Department of Housing	AZ Behavioral Health Corp.	Shelter Plus Care 293	293 tenant based rental assistance project serving homeless persons with who are dually diagnosed.	R	\$2,453,040	Phoenix
42	AZ Department of Housing	AZ Behavioral Health Corp.	Shelter Plus Care 189	189 tenant based rental assistance project serving homeless persons with who are dually diagnosed	R	\$1,561,152	Phoenix
43	AZ Department of Housing	AZ Behavioral Health Corp.	Shelter Plus Care 151	151 tenant based rental assistance project serving homeless persons with who are dually diagnosed	ď	\$1,247,280	Phoenix
44	Women in New Recovery (WINR)	Women in New Recovery Women in New Recovery (WINR)	WINR Achievers	Permanent Housing for people with disabilities	ď	\$46,862	Mesa
45	AZ Behavioral Health Corp.	House of Refuge East	House of Refuge East	Transitional housing for 86 homeless families on the former Wms. Airforce Base.	ď	\$903,424	Mesa
46	Chicano por la Causa	Chicano por la Causa	De Colores Transitional Housing Program	Expanded housing and services for women and their children who have been victims of domestic violence	ď	\$101,737	Phoenix
47	Labor's Community Services Agency	Labor's Community Services Agency	Transitional Housing	Transitional housing for homeless families with minor children.	œ	\$279,594	Phoenix
] .2-:							

Not Ranked by the Ranking and Review Committee - Mandatory HMIS Project

Secondary of the second	Phoenix, Mesa, Glendale, Tempe, Scottsdale, Peoria, Chandler, Avondale	
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	\$400,921	
	R	
The state of the s	Homeless Management Information System to count and track homeless individuals and families	
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Maricopa HMIS Project	
	Community Information and Referral	
	Community Information and Referral	

TOTAL 2006 HUD McKinney Grant Request

DATE:

May 8, 2006

SUBJECT:

Consultant Selection for the MAG PM-10 Source Attribution and Deposition Study

SUMMARY:

The FY 2006 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget includes \$200,000 for consultant assistance for a PM-10 Source Attribution and Deposition Study. A request for proposals was advertised on March 10, 2006 for assistance in characterizing the sources and deposition of particulate emissions in the Maricopa County PM-10 nonattainment area. Six proposals were received by April 10, 2006 from Clarkson University, HydroBio, Sierra Research, Sonoma Technology, Technical & Business Systems, and Weston Solutions.

A multi-agency evaluation team consisting of Arizona Department of Environmental Quality, Arizona Department of Transportation, City of Glendale, City of Phoenix, Maricopa County, and MAG staff met to evaluate the six proposals on April 20, 2006. The team recognized that determining the sources and deposition of PM-10 emissions in this region is a challenging problem that has not been solved successfully by prior studies. The six proposals presented a wide variety of approaches to investigating the PM-10 problem, including field surveys, geographic information systems, chemical analyses, statistical analyses, dispersion modeling, and intensive air quality monitoring. Due to the important role this study will play in helping the region attain the PM-10 standard, the proposal evaluation team recommended to MAG that Sierra Research be selected for an amount not to exceed \$200,000, and Technical & Business Systems be selected for an amount not to exceed \$200,000 for this Study will be obtained from MAG Contingency Funds. This item is on the May 10, 2006 Management Committee agenda to recommend approval of this consultant.

PUBLIC INPUT:

None has been received.

PROS & CONS:

PROS: Attaining the PM-10 standard has proven to be the most difficult air quality challenge for the region. This study will assist in identifying the sources of the PM-10 emissions that have caused recent violations of the standards. The procurement of consultant services will enable MAG to identify more effective control strategies and improve the accuracy of emissions inventories and dispersion modeling for the Five Percent Plan for PM-10.

CONS: None.

TECHNICAL & POLICY IMPLICATIONS:

TECHNICAL: Maricopa County has continued to experience violations of the PM-10 standards through early 2006. Because the area will not attain the PM-10 standards by December 31, 2006, the Clean

Air Act requires that a Five Percent Plan be prepared. The Five Percent Plan to be prepared by MAG must show reductions in PM-10 emissions of five percent per year until attainment is achieved at the monitors. The procurement of consultant assistance will assist MAG in developing potential control measures, preparing emissions inventories, and conducting dispersion modeling for the Five Percent Plan for PM-10.

POLICY: The Study will help MAG submit an approvable Five Percent Plan for PM-10 to EPA by the deadline of December 31, 2007. If the Five Percent Plan is not submitted on time or is not approvable, EPA can start the sanctions clock. If the Plan deficiencies are not addressed within 18 months, EPA could impose industrial sanctions. After 24 months, EPA could stop Federal Highway funding by imposing highway sanctions. The highway sanctions would also trigger a conformity lapse, jeopardizing funding for \$2.6 billion in Regional Transportation Plan projects.

ACTION NEEDED:

Approval that Sierra Research be selected for an amount not to exceed \$200,000 and Technical & Business Systems be selected for an amount not to exceed \$165,000, to perform the MAG PM-10 Source Attribution and Deposition Study.

PRIOR COMMITTEE ACTIONS:

On April 20, 2006, a multi-agency evaluation team consisting of Arizona Department of Environmental Quality, Arizona Department of Transportation, City of Phoenix, City of Glendale, Maricopa County, and MAG staff reviewed the six proposals. The team has recommended to MAG that Sierra Research be selected for an amount not to exceed \$200,000, and Technical & Business Systems for an amount not to exceed \$165,000.

Proposal Evaluation Team

City of Glendale: Doug Kukino

City of Phoenix: Gaye Knight

Maricopa County: Jo Crumbaker; Ben Davis

Arizona Department of Environmental Quality:

Peter Hyde

Arizona Department of Transportation: Beverly

Chenausky

MAG Staff: Cathy Arthur

CONTACT PERSON:

Cathy Arthur, MAG, (602) 254-6300.

DATE:

May 8, 2006

SUBJECT:

Amendment to the FY 2006 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget to Include Additional Funds From the Arizona Department of Housing.

SUMMARY:

A modification is being requested to the FY 2006 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget. The Arizona Department of Housing (ADOH) has notified MAG that the amount of FY 2006 ADOH funds has been increased from \$35,000 to \$47,000. The additional funding is being increased in order to extend the funding period of this program. This funding needs to be added to the FY 2006 MAG Work Program.

PUBLIC INPUT:

No public input has been received.

PROS & CONS:

PROS: Amending the FY 2006 MAG Work Program and Annual Budget will make it possible for the additional funding to be utilized.

CONS: None.

TECHNICAL & POLICY IMPLICATIONS:

TECHNICAL: None.

POLICY: Under MAG budget policies, "modifications causing the overall size of the budget to increase or decrease in total, require the approval of the Regional Council or the Regional Council Executive Committee at a public meeting."

ACTION NEEDED:

Approve amending the FY 2006 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget to reflect the increase in funding from the Arizona Department of Housing from \$35,000 to \$47,000 to support homeless planning and coordination at MAG.

PRIOR COMMITTEE ACTIONS:

None.

CONTACT PERSON:

Becky Kimbrough, Fiscal Services Manager, (602) 254-6300.

DATE:

May 8, 2006

SUBJECT:

Approval of the Draft FY 2007 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget

SUMMARY:

Each year staff develops the MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget. The Work Program is reviewed in April by the federal agencies and approved by the Regional Council in May. The proposed budget information was presented incrementally and adjustments made as information was received. The FY 2007 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget is being recommended for approval. It is anticipated that the final population numbers from the 2005 Census Survey will be used to calculate the final dues and assessments. The population numbers are expected to be received at the end of June 2006. The draft budget is complete except for any adjustments needed from a final recommendation on the compensation update and final calculation of the FY 2007 Dues and Assessments. Approved compensation adjustments will be incorporated into the Draft FY 2007 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget that will be presented to the Regional Council on May 24, 2006.

The Management Committee reviewed the development of the Work Program and Annual Budget at its meetings on January 11, February 8, March 8 and April 5, 2006. The Regional Council reviewed the draft budget document at its meetings on January 25, February 22, March 29 and April 26, 2006. The Regional Council Executive Committee reviewed the development of the Work Program and Annual Budget at its meetings on January 9, February 13, March 20 and April 17, 2006.

Each year new projects are proposed for inclusion in the MAG planning efforts. The proposed new projects for FY 2007 were presented at the February 8, 2006 Management Committee meeting, the February 13, 2006 Executive Committee meeting, and the February 22, 2006 Regional Council meeting. These new project proposals come from the various MAG technical committees, policy committees and other discussions with members and stakeholders regarding joint efforts within the region. These projects are subject to review and input by the committees as they go through the budget process. In April, MAG received a request from Maricopa County to consider funding for a transit planning study for Sun City in the amount of \$50,000. This request is not currently in the proposed listing of projects and has not been incorporated into the budget, pending review of this request.

The final draft budget is being transmitted to the Management Committee for review. The estimated personnel costs reflect a 7.65 percent increase from the current year and the estimated overhead costs reflect an 8.08 percent decrease from the current year. Overall, the final draft budget for FY 2007 reflects a very slight decrease from the overall budgeted amount in FY 2006.

The MAG Region, as a Transportation Management Area and as a Metropolitan Planning Organization, is required (by Federal regulations 23 CFR 450.314) to describe all of the regional transportation-related activities within the planning area, regardless of funding sources or agencies conducting activities. The regional transportation projects received from other organizations are noted in the Work Program.

PUBLIC INPUT:

At the February 13, 2006 Executive Committee meeting, a citizen commented on having covered park and ride lots, but not all transit stops are sheltered. At March Management Committee and Regional Council

meetings, a citizen commented that more money should be dedicated to the 2007 Human Services Retreat and programs.

PROS & CONS:

PROS: MAG is presenting the final draft FY 2007 budget, which provides for an incremental review of key budget details of the complete draft budget.

CONS: None.

TECHNICAL & POLICY IMPLICATIONS:

TECHNICAL: The Federal Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 requires a metropolitan planning organization to develop a unified planning work program that meets the requirements of federal law. Additionally, the MAG by-laws require approval and adoption of a budget for each fiscal year and a service charge schedule.

POLICY: As requested by the MAG Executive Committee and subsequently approved by the Regional Council in May 2002, the MAG Work Program and Annual Budget detail is being presented earlier to the Management Committee and there is increased notice to members on the budget. MAG is providing a budget summary that outlines new programs and presents the necessary resources to implement these programs. This summary allows member agencies to quickly decipher the financial implications of such programs prior to their approval for implementation.

ACTION NEEDED:

Recommend approval of the resolution adopting the Draft FY 2007 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget and the member dues and assessments, with the dues and assessments using the final 2005 Census Survey numbers.

PRIOR COMMITTEE ACTIONS:

On April 26, 2006, the Regional Council was provided with an update of budget revisions.

MEMBERS ATTENDING

Councilmember Mike Whalen for Mayor Keno Hawker, Mesa, Chair

Mayor Woody Thomas, Litchfield Park, Vice Chair

Councilmember John Insalaco for Mayor Douglas Coleman, Apache Junction

Mayor Marie Lopez-Rogers, Avondale Vice Mayor Chris Urwiller for Mayor Dusty

Hull, Buckeye

*Mayor Edward Morgan, Carefree Vice Mayor Dick Esser, Cave Creek

Mayor Boyd Dunn, Chandler

Mayor Fred Waterman, El Mirage

*President Raphael Bear, Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation

Vice Mayor Jay Schlum for Mayor Wally Nichols, Fountain Hills

*Mayor Daniel Birchfield, Gila Bend

*Governor William Rhodes, Gila River Indian Community

Mayor Steven Berman, Gilbert

Mayor Elaine Scruggs, Glendale

Mayor James M. Cavanaugh, Goodyear

* Mayor Bernadette Jimenez, Guadalupe

Supervisor Mary Rose Wilcox for Supervisor

Max Wilson, Maricopa County

Councilmember Dan Schweiker for

Mayor Ron Clarke, Paradise Valley

Vice Mayor Bob Barrett for

Mayor John Keegan, Peoria

Councilmember Peggy Neely for

Mayor Phil Gordon, Phoenix

Mayor Wendy Feldman-Kerr, Queen Creek

* President Joni Ramos, Salt River

Pima-Maricopa Indian Community

Mayor Mary Manross, Scottsdale

Mayor Joan Shafer, Surprise

* Mayor Hugh Hallman, Tempe

* Mayor Adolfo Gamez, Tolleson

Mayor Ron Badowski, Wickenburg

* Mayor Bryan Hackbarth, Youngtown

Joe Lane, ADOT

Felipe Zubia, ADOT

F. Rockne Arnett, Citizens Transportation Oversight Committee

- * Those members neither present nor represented by proxy.
- # Attended by telephone conference call.
- + Attended by videoconference call.

On April 17, 2006, the Executive Committee was provided with an update of budget revisions.

MEMBERS ATTENDING

Mayor Keno Hawker, Mesa, Chair Mayor Woody Thomas, Litchfield Park, Vice Chair Mayor Mary Manross, Scottsdale, Treasurer Mayor Wendy Feldman-Kerr, Queen Creek
* Mayor Phil Gordon, Phoenix
Mayor Joan Shafer, Surprise
Mayor James M. Cavanaugh, Goodyear

- * Not present
- # Participated by videoconference or telephone conference call

On April 5, 2006, the Management Committee was provided a detailed listing of proposed new projects new projects for FY 2007, a draft "MAG Programs in Brief," and a draft FY 2007 budget document.

MEMBERS ATTENDING

Ed Beasley, Glendale, Chair Bridget Schwartz-Manock for Jan Dolan, Scottsdale, Vice Chair

- # George Hoffman, Apache Junction Charlie McClendon, Avondale Carroll Reynolds, Buckeye
- * Jon Pearson, Carefree Usama Abujbarah, Cave Creek Patrice Kraus for Mark Pentz, Chandler
- * B.J. Cornwall, El Mirage Alfonso Rodriguez for Orlando Moreno, Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation
- # Tim Pickering, Fountain Hills
- * Lynn Farmer, Gila Bend
- Gila River Indian Community George Pettit, Gilbert Stephen Cleveland, Goodyear Mark Johnson, Guadalupe

Mike Cartsonis for Darryl Crossman,
Litchfield Park
Christopher Brady, Mesa
Tom Martinsen, Paradise Valley
Terry Ellis, Peoria
Frank Fairbanks, Phoenix
Cynthia Seelhammer, Queen Creek

- * Bryan Meyers, Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community
 Jim Rumpeltes, Surprise
 Amber Wakeman for Will Manley, Tempe
- * Reyes Medrano, Tolleson
- * Shane Dille, Wickenburg
 Mark Fooks, Youngtown
 Dale Buskirk for Victor Mendez, ADOT
 David Smith, Maricopa County
 Bryan Jungwirth for David Boggs,
 Valley Metro/RPTA
- * Those members neither present nor represented by proxy.
- # Participated by telephone conference call. + Participated by videoconference call.

On March 29, 2006, the Regional Council was provided a detailed listing of proposed new projects new projects for FY 2007, a draft "MAG Programs in Brief," and a draft FY 2007 budget document.

MEMBERS ATTENDING

Mayor Keno Hawker, Mesa, Chair
Mayor Woody Thomas, Litchfield Park,
Vice Chair
Councilmember Dave Waldron for Mayor
Douglas Coleman, Apache Junction
Mayor Marie Lopez-Rogers, Avondale
Vice Mayor Chris Urwiller for Mayor Dusty
Hull, Buckeye
Mayor Edward Morgan, Carefree
Vice Mayor Dick Esser, Cave Creek

* Mayor Boyd Dunn, Chandler

- * Mayor Fred Waterman, El Mirage
- * President Raphael Bear, Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation
 - Mayor Wally Nichols, Fountain Hills
- + Mayor Daniel Birchfield, Gila Bend
- * Governor William Rhodes, Gila River Indian Community

Mayor Steven Berman, Gilbert

- # Mayor Elaine Scruggs, Glendale Mayor James M. Cavanaugh, Goodyear
- * Mayor Bernadette Jimenez, Guadalupe

Supervisor Max Wilson, Maricopa County
Councilmember Jini Simpson for
Mayor Ron Clarke, Paradise Valley
Councilmember Pat Dennis for
Mayor John Keegan, Peoria
Councilmember Peggy Neely for
Mayor Phil Gordon, Phoenix

- # Mayor Wendy Feldman-Kerr, Queen Creek
- * President Joni Ramos, Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community
- * Mayor Mary Manross, Scottsdale

Vice Mayor Danny Arismendez for Mayor Joan Shafer, Surprise

- * Mayor Hugh Hallman, Tempe
- * Mayor Adolfo Gamez, Tolleson
- # Mayor Ron Badowski, Wickenburg
- * Mayor Bryan Hackbarth, Youngtown
- Vacant, ADOT Joe Lane, ADOT
- * F. Rockne Arnett, Citizens Transportation Oversight Committee
- * Those members neither present nor represented by proxy.
- # Attended by telephone conference call.
- + Attended by videoconference call.

On March 20, 2006, the Executive Committee was provided a detailed listing of proposed new projects new projects for FY 2007, a draft "MAG Programs in Brief," and a draft FY 2007 budget document.

MEMBERS ATTENDING

Mayor Keno Hawker, Mesa, Chair Mayor Woody Thomas, Litchfield Park, Vice Chair Mayor Mary Manross, Scottsdale, Treasurer Mayor Wendy Feldman-Kerr, Queen Creek

- * Mayor Phil Gordon, Phoenix
- * Mayor Joan Shafer, Surprise Mayor James M. Cavanaugh, Goodyear

On March 8, 2006, the MAG Management Committee was provided a detailed listing of proposed new projects new projects for FY 2007, a draft "MAG Programs in Brief," and a draft FY 2007 budget document.

MEMBERS ATTENDING

Ed Beasley, Glendale, Chair Jan Dolan, Scottsdale, Vice Chair

Janine Hanna-Solley for George Hoffman, Apache Junction Charlie McClendon, Avondale Carroll Reynolds, Buckeye

* Jon Pearson, Carefree

 Usama Abujbarah, Cave Creek Mark Pentz, Chandler

* B.J. Cornwall, El Mirage
Alfonso Rodriguez for Orlando Moreno, Fort
McDowell Yavapai Nation
Ellen Pence for Tim Pickering,
Fountain Hills

+ Lynn Farmer, Gila Bend

 Gila River Indian Community George Pettit, Gilbert Stephen Cleveland, Goodyear Mark Johnson, Guadalupe Darryl Crossman, Litchfield Park Christopher Brady, Mesa Tom Martinsen, Paradise Valley Terry Ellis, Peoria Frank Fairbanks, Phoenix

- # Cynthia Seelhammer, Queen Creek Jacob Moore for Bryan Meyers, Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community Jim Rumpeltes, Surprise Will Manley, Tempe
- * Reyes Medrano, Tolleson
- * Shane Dille, Wickenburg Mark Fooks, Youngtown Dale Buskirk for Victor Mendez, ADOT David Smith, Maricopa County David Boggs, Valley Metro/RPTA
- * Those members neither present nor represented by proxy.
- # Participated by telephone conference call. + Participated by videoconference call.

On February 22, 2006, the MAG Regional Council was provided a proposed budget timeline, proposed dues and assessments, projected funding sources and uses, a draft "MAG Programs in Brief," and a detailed listing of proposed new projects for FY 2007.

MEMBERS ATTENDING

Mayor Keno Hawker, Mesa, Chair

Mayor Woody Thomas, Litchfield Park,

^{*} Not present # Participated by videoconference or telephone conference call

Vice Chair

- Mayor Douglas Coleman, Apache Junction Councilmember Jim Buster for Mayor Marie Lopez-Rogers, Avondale Mayor Dusty Hull, Buckeye
- * Mayor Edward Morgan, Carefree
- Vice Mayor Dick Esser, Cave Creek Mayor Boyd Dunn, Chandler Mayor Fred Waterman, El Mirage
- President Raphael Bear, Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation
 - Councilmember John Kavanagh for Mayor Wally Nichols, Fountain Hills
- * Mayor Daniel Birchfield, Gila Bend
- Governor William Rhodes, Gila River Indian Community
 - Mayor Steven Berman, Gilbert
- * Mayor Elaine Scruggs, Glendale Mayor James M. Cavanaugh, Goodyear
- * Mayor Bernadette Jimenez, Guadalupe

- Supervisor Max Wilson, Maricopa County Councilmember Jini Simpson for Mayor Ron Clarke, Paradise Valley Mayor John Keegan, Peoria Councilmember Peggy Neely for Mayor Phil Gordon, Phoenix
- # Mayor Wendy Feldman-Kerr, Queen Creek
- President Joni Ramos, Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community Mayor Mary Manross, Scottsdale Mayor Joan Shafer, Surprise Mayor Hugh Hallman, Tempe Mayor Adolfo Gamez, Tolleson
- # Mayor Ron Badowski, Wickenburg
- * Mayor Bryan Hackbarth, Youngtown
- Vacant, ADOT
- * Joe Lane, ADOT
 - F. Rockne Arnett, Citizens Transportation Oversight Committee
- * Those members neither present nor represented by proxy.
- # Attended by telephone conference call. + Attended by videoconference call.

Executive Committee: On February 13, 2006, the Executive Committee was provided a proposed budget timeline, proposed dues and assessments, projected funding sources and uses, a draft "MAG Programs in Brief," a detailed listing of proposed new projects for FY 2007 and an invitation for the videoconference Budget Workshop.

MEMBERS ATTENDING

Mayor Keno Hawker, Mesa, Chair Mayor Woody Thomas, Litchfield Park, Vice Chair

Mayor Mary Manross, Scottsdale, Treasurer

Mayor Wendy Feldman-Kerr, Queen Creek Mayor Phil Gordon, Phoenix Mayor Joan Shafer, Surprise Mayor James M. Cavanaugh, Goodyear

- * Not present
- # Participated by videoconference or telephone conference call

Management Committee: On February 8, 2006, the Management Committee was provided a proposed budget timeline, proposed dues and assessments, projected funding sources and uses, a draft "MAG Programs in Brief," a detailed listing of proposed new projects for FY 2007 and an invitation for the videoconference Budget Workshop.

MEMBERS ATTENDING

Dana Tranberg for Ed Beasley, Glendale, Chair

Jan Dolan, Scottsdale, Vice Chair

- # Janine Solley for George Hoffman, **Apache Junction** Charlie McClendon, Avondale Carroll Reynolds, Buckeye
- * Jon Pearson, Carefree
- * Usama Abujbarah, Cave Creek Mark Pentz, Chandler
- B.J. Cornwall, El Mirage Alfonso Rodriguez for Orlando Moreno, Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation Tim Pickering, Fountain Hills
- + Lynn Farmer, Gila Bend

- * Urban Giff, Gila River Indian Community George Pettit, Gilbert Stephen Cleveland, Goodyear Mark Johnson, Guadalupe Darryl Crossman, Litchfield Park Christopher Brady, Mesa
- * Tom Martinsen, Paradise Valley John Wenderski for Terry Ellis, Peoria Frank Fairbanks, Phoenix
- # Cynthia Seelhammer, Queen Creek
- Bryan Meyers, Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community Jim Rumpeltes, Surprise Will Manley, Tempe Reyes Medrano, Tolleson

Shane Dille, Wickenburg Mark Fooks, Youngtown Dale Buskirk for Victor Mendez, ADOT David Smith, Maricopa County David Boggs, Valley Metro/RPTA

- * Those members neither present nor represented by proxy.
- # Participated by telephone conference call. + Participated by videoconference call.

On January 25, 2006, MAG Regional Council was provided a proposed budget timeline and proposed dues and assessments.

MEMBERS ATTENDING

Mayor Keno Hawker, Mesa, Chair

- # Mayor Woody Thomas, Litchfield Park, Vice Chair
- + Councilmember Dave Waldron for Mayor Douglas Coleman, Apache Junction Mayor Marie Lopez-Rogers, Avondale
- * Mayor Dusty Hull, Buckeye
- * Mayor Edward Morgan, Carefree Vice Mayor Dick Esser, Cave Creek
- * Mayor Boyd Dunn, Chandler
- * Mayor Fred Waterman, El Mirage President Raphael Bear, Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation
 - Mayor Wally Nichols, Fountain Hills
- + Mayor Daniel Birchfield, Gila Bend
- * Governor William Rhodes, Gila River Indian Community

Mayor Steven Berman, Gilbert Mayor Elaine Scruggs, Glendale Mayor James M. Cavanaugh, Goodyear

- Mayor Bernadette Jimenez, Guadalupe
- * Supervisor Don Stapley, Maricopa County
- * Mayor Ron Clarke, Paradise Valley Vice Mayor Bob Barrett for Mayor John Keegan, Peoria
- * Mayor Phil Gordon, Phoenix
- + Councilmember Gary Holloway for Mayor Wendy Feldman-Kerr, Queen Creek
- * President Joni Ramos, Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community Mayor Mary Manross, Scottsdale
- * Mayor Joan Shafer, Surprise
- # Mayor Hugh Hallman, Tempe
- # Mayor Adolfo Gamez, Tolleson
- * Mayor Ron Badowski, Wickenburg
- * Mayor Bryan Hackbarth, Youngtown
- * Vacant, ADOT Joe Lane, ADOT
 - F. Rockne Arnett, Citizens Transportation Oversight Committee
- * Those members neither present nor represented by proxy.
- # Attended by telephone conference call. + Attended by videoconference call.

On January 11, 2006, the Management Committee was provided a proposed budget timeline and proposed dues and assessments.

MEMBERS ATTENDING

Dana Tranberg for Ed Beasley, Glendale, Chair

Jan Dolan, Scottsdale, Vice Chair

- * George Hoffman, Apache Junction Charlie McClendon, Avondale Carroll Reynolds, Buckeye
- * Jon Pearson, Carefree
- Usama Abujbarah, Cave Creek
 Patrice Kraus for Mark Pentz, Chandler
 B.J. Cornwall, El Mirage
 Alfonso Rodriguez for Orlando Moreno, Fort
 McDowell Yavapai Nation
 Tim Pickering, Fountain Hills
- * Lynn Farmer, Gila Bend Urban Giff, Gila River Indian Community George Pettit, Gilbert Stephen Cleveland, Goodyear Mark Johnson, Guadalupe

- Darryl Crossman, Litchfield Park Christopher Brady, Mesa
- * Tom Martinsen, Paradise Valley Terry Ellis, Peoria
 - Frank Fairbanks, Phoenix
- # Cynthia Seelhammer, Queen Creek
- * Bryan Meyers, Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community Jim Rumpeltes, Surprise Amber Wakeman, for Will Manley,

Tempe

- * Reyes Medrano, Tolleson
- Shane Dille, Wickenburg
 Mark Fooks, Youngtown
 Dale Buskirk for Victor Mendez, ADOT
 David Smith, Maricopa County
 David Boggs, Valley Metro/RPTA
- * Those members neither present nor represented by proxy.

Participated by telephone conference call. + Participated by videoconference call.

On January 9, 2006, the MAG Regional Council Executive Committee was provided a proposed budget timeline and proposed dues and assessments.

MEMBERS ATTENDING

Mayor Keno Hawker, Mesa, Chair Mayor Woody Thomas, Litchfield Park, Vice Chair Mayor Mary Manross, Scottsdale, Treasurer Mayor Wendy Feldman-Kerr, Queen Creek
* Mayor Phil Gordon, Phoenix
Mayor Joan Shafer, Surprise
Mayor James M. Cavanaugh, Goodyear

CONTACT PERSON:

Rebecca Kimbrough, MAG Fiscal Services Manager, (602) 452-5051

^{*} Not present

[#] Participated by videoconference or telephone conference call

MAG Related Bills
BILL SUMMARY
(47th Legislature – 2nd Regular Session) *Updated: April 26, 2006*

Rec. Position		esoddO
Status		SENATE FIRST READ: 01/26/06 SECOND READ: 02/01/06 TRANS: DPA/SE 02/16/06 RULES: PFCA 2/22/06 COW: DPA 3/22/06 THIRD READING: 3/22/06 Sent to House: 3/22/06 HOUSE FIRST READING: 3/22/06 SECOND READING: 3/27/06 Assigned: FMPR: DPA 3/27/06 Assigned: FMPR: DPA 3/27/06 RULES:
Description	LAND USE; COMPENSATION; & EMINENT DOMAIN	The Strike Everything Amendment to SCR 1019 proposes a ballot measure that, among other things, would require governments to compensate property owners for every zoning or land use decision they make. If passed the law would freeze current zoning, preventing government from responding to future community concerns. Examples of actions that could trigger lawsuits and payment from government: Approval or disapproval of historic overlay zoning; Change in residential density; Change from commercial, residential use; Approval or disapproval of building height limits; Approval or disapproval of neighborhood-developed special planning districts; Approval or disapproval of neighborhood preservation codes; and Proferement or enactment of neighborhood preservation codes; and Virtually any other land use regulation. Furthermore, the law will provide a right to compensation when the zoning authority takes no action, as long as the owner can show that inaction reduces the value of his property.
Sponsor		Bee, Bennett, Burns R, Jarrett, & Tibshraeny
Bill Number		SCR 1019 (As Amended)
lssue		Land Use Regulation; Compensation

enss	Bill Number	Sponsor	Description	Status	Rec. Position
Eminent Domain; Presumption	HCR 2002	Gray C Burges Pearce	States that the use of eminent domain by the state, a political subdivision of the state or a person creates a presumption that the taking is for a private use. The burden would rest with the state, political subdivision or person exercising eminent domain to establish by clear and convincing evidence facts rebutting the presumption. Concerns: The proposed language is tantamount to alleging that the condemning authority is attempting to perpetrate a fraud on the court in every condemnation action that it files. Ordinarily, in pleadings filed with the court, the condemning authority alleges, as required by law that the taking is for a public purpose. A presumption that the taking is actually for a private purpose seems to accuse the condemning authority of being untruthful in every condemnation pleading it files. On March 27, 2006 a Strike Everything Amendment was passed in the Senate Judiciary Committee that, subject to voter approval, require the Legislature to ensure that the annual budget is balanced.	HOUSE FIRST READ: 1/09/06 SECOND READ: 1/10/06 Assigned FMPR: DP 1/09/06 RULES: C&P 01/31/06. COW: DPA 2/09/06 Third Read 2/13/06 Sent to Senate SENATE FIRST READ: 2/15/06 SECOND READ: 2/16/06 Assigned: JUD: DPA/SE 3/27/06 RULES	Oppose

Issue	Bill Number	Sponsor	Description	Status	Rec. Position
Takings; Public Use; Jury Determination	HCR 2003	Gray C Burges Pearce	Very similar to SCR 1002. Allows a private property owner to request a jury to determine whether an eminent domain taking is for a public use. Concerns: By allowing "any affected party" to appeal, the set of possible appeals and the dynamics of the appeal process increase by orders of magnitude. On March 30, 2006 a Strike Everything Amendment was passed in the Senate Judiciary Committee that, subject to voter approval, prohibits a public employee labor organization (PELO) from using employee dues or fees for political activities unless the PELO has consent of the employee.	HOUSE FIRST READ: 1/09/06 SECOND READ: 1/10/06 Assigned FMPR: DPA 1/09/06 FULES: C&P 01/31/06. COW: DPA 2/09/06 Third Read 2/13/06 Sent to Senate SENATE FIRST READ: 2/15/06 SECOND READ: 2/16/06 Assigned: JUD: DPA/SE 3/30/06 RULES	osoddO
Land Use Regulation: Compensation	HCR 2031	Gray C	The bill is identical to the amended version of SCR 1019. It proposes a ballot measure that, among other things, would require governments to compensate property owners for every zoning or land use decision they make.	HOUSE FIRST READ: 1/30/06 SECOND READ: 1/31/06 Assigned: FMPR: DPA/SE 2/13/06 RULES: C&P 3/07/06 COW: DPA 3/14/06 THIRD READING: 3/22/06 FAILED 2/3 VOTING REQUIREMENT	osoddO

Issue	Bill Number	Sponsor	Description	Status	Rec. Position
Eminent domain; fees; costs; interest	HB 2062	Gray, C Burges Pearce	Requires plaintiffs in actions for condemnation to fully disclose in writing the final project, including all aspects of work that must be performed to complete the project, to the property owner of record.	HOUSE FIRST READ: 1/09/06 SECOND READ: 1/10/06 Assigned FMPR: DP 1/09/06 RULES: C&P 01/09/06. Approved House COW: DPA 01/26/06 Third Read 2/13/06 Passed the House 2/13/06. Sent to Senate SECOND READ: 2/13/06. Sent to Senate SECOND READ: 2/15/06 Assigned: GOV: DPA 3/16/06 RULES: PFC 3/20/06 COW; RETAINED	Oppose

Appraisals; Taxes Appraisals; Taxes Gorman Gorman Process. The court shall make the final order of condemnation within 180 days after the commencement of the condemnation action. Changes made to relocation costs and appraisal language. A government entity many not sell, lease, or transfer property that it acquires through eminent domain for 10 years.
TRANSPORTATION

Issue	Bill Number	Sponsor	Description	Status	Rec. Position
Bond Requirements; Authorized Third Parties	SB 1098	Verschoor	Increases the bond requirement for individuals applying to participate in the Arizona Department of Transportation's (ADOT) Motor Vehicle Division (MVD) third party program. Updates exemptions from the third party application bond requirement. A Strike Everything amendment was adopted in the House Transportation Committee on Thursday March 9, 2006. The amendment will do the following: Appropriates \$463 million to a highway acceleration account in the state highway fund. 50% of the appropriated funds would go to Maricopa County. 25% of the appropriated funds would go to counties with a population of 500,000 or more persons. 25% of the appropriated funds would go to counties with a population of less than 500,000 or less persons. Design a right of way purchase or construction related to new, or improvements to, I-10 between milepost 230 and milepost 260 (City of Tucson and the edge of Pima County).	SENATE FIRST READ: 01/11/06 SECOND READ: 01/12/06 Assigned: TRANS: DP 1/24/06 RULES: PFC 1/30/06 COW: DP 2/08/06 THIRD READING: 2/08/06 Sent to House 2/08/06 HOUSE FIRST READ: 02/20/06 SECOND READ: 02/21/06 Assigned: TRANS: DPA/SE 3/09/06 RULES:	Support House Trans Committee Amendment

enss	Bill Number	Sponsor	Description	Status	Rec. Position
Appropriation Highway Const.	SB 1248	Blendu	Appropriates \$118 million in FY 2006-2007 to the State Highway Fund to accelerate existing highway construction projects. According to ADOT, from October 2003 to October 2005, the prices of the six primary materials used in highway construction have increased in the following amounts: • Steel 41 percent • Concrete 21 percent • Concrete 21 percent • Gasoline 104 percent • Asphalt 40 percent • Asphalt 52 percent • Asphalt 52 percent • Amendments Adopted by the Appropriations Committee • Increases the appropriation to \$118 million from \$100 million. • Appropriates the monies to the State Highway Fund instead of ADOT. Amendments Adopted on the Floor • Amendment by Sen. Martin that forces funds to be used on I-10 and/or I-17 A Strike Everything Amendment was passed by the Appropriations Committee on April 5, 2006 that appropriates to SB 1248 \$300,000 and three FTE positions from the state General Fund in FY 2006-07 to the Department of Health Services (DHS) for osteoporosis research	SENATE FIRST READ: 01/19/06 SECOND READ: 01/25/06 Assigned: TRANS: DP 02/07/06 APPROP: DPA 2/14/06 RULES: PFC 2/20/06 COW: DPA 2/22/06 THIRD READING: 3/06/06 Sent to House 3/06/06 Second READ: 3/13/06 ASSIGNED: 3/13/06 ASSIGNED: DPA/SE 4/05/06 RULES:	Support

Issue	Bill Number	Sponsor	Description	Status	Rec. Position
ADOT ITS	SB 1420	Martin	Appropriates \$15 million from the state general fund in fiscal year 2006 - 2007 to ADOT for funding of ADOT ITS systems in Maricopa County consisting of highway cameras, message boards and a web site with current highway information. The state general fund would be repaid over a 14-year period (\$1 million per yr.) from the Regional Area Road Fund. These accelerated expenditures have not been included in the MAG Transportation Improvement Program. The section of highway that would be instrumented is 15 miles on Interstate 17 from Dunlap to Carefree Highway. This project is currently programmed for construction in 2013. The current bill requires that payments be made to the general fund on an annual basis beginning in 2007. There are a number of projects	SENATE FIRST READ: 01/30/06 SECOND READ: 02/01/06 Assigned TRANS: DP 2/14/06 APPROP: RULES:	Monitor
I-17 Widening	SB 1504	Martin, Bee, Bennet, Blendu, Miranda, Aguirre, Flake, Garcia, Harper, Mitchell, Tibshraeny, Verschoor, Gorman, Gallardo, Reagan, Stump	The sum of \$75,000,000 is appropriated from the state general fund in fiscal year 2006-2007 and in each of the five subsequent fiscal years to the department of transportation for the widening of interstate 17 from Carefree highway north approximately twenty miles to Black Canyon City with an additional highway lane in each direction. ADOT has completed the Design Concept Report (DCR) to Black Canyon City. An environmental assessment (or environmental impact statement) would need to be completed before design could begin (1-5 years for an EA or 3 + years if an EIS is required). Design could take 2 years. Construction probably could not start for at least 3 year and perhaps longer.	SENATE FIRST READ: 1/31/06 SECOND READ: 2/02/06 Assigned: APPROP: TRANS: DP 2/14/06 RULES:	Monitor

Description
Increases the bonding capacity from 6 percent to 20 percent for public safety and transportation projects.

enss	Bill Number	Sponsor	Description	Status	Rec. Position
State highway fund bonds	HB 2206	Biggs	Removes the statutory cap (currently set at \$1.3 billion) on Highway User Revenue Fund (HURF) parity bonds issued by the State Transportation Board.	HOUSE FIRST READ: 1/17/06 SECOND READ: 1/18/06 Assigned: TRANS: DP 01/19/06. APPROP (P): DP 2/01/06 C&P 2/21/06 COW: DPA2/23/06 Sent to Senate SENATE FIRST READ: 2/28/06 SECOND READ: 3/01/06 Assigned: TRANS: DP 3/14/06 Assigned: TRANS: DP 3/14/06 AULES: PFC 4/19/06 COW: 4/27/06	Support
Appropriation; highway monies; repayment	НВ 2332	McClure Konopnicki Lopez	Appropriates \$52,215,300 from the State General Fund to the Highway User Revenue Fund (HURF) for distribution to counties for repayment of HURF monies diverted in fiscal year 2004-2005.	HOUSE FIRST READ: 1/17/06 SECOND READ: 1/18/06 Assigned: TRANS: DPA 01/26/06. APPROP (P): RULES:	Support

enss	Bill Number	Sponsor	Description	Status	Rec. Position
Freeway expansion; Intergovernmental Agreements	HB 2756	Weiers Kirkpatrick Allen Brown Chase Downing Jones Mclain Pearce	Provides that three or more contiguous cities may enter into an intergovernmental agreement for a period of not to exceed five years for the construction or expansion of controlled access highways in the state or interstate highway system. The cities would have an election to increase the sales tax by the same percentage in each city. The monies from the tax would be provided to the state treasurer and to ADOT. Each year, the tax is collected, an equal amount up to \$5 million per year would be allocated from the state general fund to the state treasurer for deposit into the ADOT freeway construction account. Projects are required to be identified in the ADOT Long Range Transportation Plan.	HOUSE FIRST READ: 02/02/06 SECOND READ: 02/06/06 Assigned: TRANS: DISC/HELD 2/23/06 APPROP (P) RULES	Monitor
Transportation Facilities; Priorities; Appropriation	HB 2769	Gorman Burges Mason Pierce Martin Barnes Burns Farnsworth Groe Hershberger Jones McLain Murphy Nelson Nichols	Provides that an ADOT departmental committee in recommending priorities shall give additional weight to projects that relieve congestion, improve accessibility, promote safety and provide economic benefits to major arterial routes. A sum of \$80 million is appropriated from the state general fund in fiscal year 2006-2007 to ADOT for deposit in a separate account of the state highway fund for cost related to new construction and improvements to the portion of Interstate 17 between the Loop 101 and northern edge of Maricopa County to relieve congestion, improve accessibility, promote safety and provide economic benefits.	HOUSE FIRST READ: 02/07/06 SECOND READ: 02/08/06 Assigned: TRANS: DISC/HELD 2/23/06 APPROP (P): RULES:	Monitor

Rec. Status Position	HOUSE FIRST Monitor READ: 02/07/06 SECOND READ:	02/08/08	02/08/06 Assigned: TRANS: DP 2/23/06 APPROP (P): RULES:	02/08/06 Assigned: TRANS: DP 2/23/06 APPROP (P): RULES:	02/08/06 Assigned: TRANS: DP 2/23/06 APPROP (P): RULES:	02/08/06 Assigned: TRANS: DP 2/23/06 APPROP (P): RULES:	02/08/06 Assigned: TRANS: DP 2/23/06 APPROP (P): RULES:	02/08/06 Assigned: TRANS: DP 2/23/06 APPROP (P): RULES:	02/08/06 Assigned: TRANS: DP 2/23/06 APPROP (P): RULES:
_		· · · · · ·		٩		r io	opest ded	oest ded	operated to the second to the
		si tr		<u>.</u>		r io	r to sest ded	on 7 gest	t on r
ne Arizona Department of Transportation to receive monies developer for use by the department for transportation	מון יכן יימוסקטיי	Current statute provides exemptions from bidding requirements for private entities that fund transportation projects with private monies. However, the statute does impose mandates on a private entity that chooses to pay for construction of a transportation project. These		nents include: The private entity must obtain a bond in an amount equal to one hundred twenty-five per cent of the anticipated construction cost of the project before advertising for bids.	ents include: The private entity must obtain a bond in an amount equal to the hundred twenty-five per cent of the anticipated construction cost of the project before advertising for bids. The private entity must solicit sealed bids from at least four contractors who are prequalified by the department to beform a contract of the anticipated dollar amount of the construction.	ents include: The private entity must obtain a bond in an amount equal to one hundred twenty-five per cent of the anticipated construction cost of the project before advertising for bids. The private entity must solicit sealed bids from at least four contractors who are prequalified by the department to berform a contract of the anticipated dollar amount of the construction. The private entity is required to Award the contract to the best older taking into account price and other criteria as provided the bid documents.	nents include: The private entity must obtain a bond in an amount equal to one hundred twenty-five per cent of the anticipated construction cost of the project before advertising for bids. The private entity must solicit sealed bids from at least four contractors who are prequalified by the department to perform a contract of the anticipated dollar amount of the construction. The private entity is required to Award the contract to the best bidder taking into account price and other criteria as provided in the bid documents. The private entity must obtains bonds from the selected contractor that provide the same coverage as performance and payment bonds issued under title 34, chapter 2, article 2.	nents include: The private entity must obtain a bond in an amount equal to one hundred twenty-five per cent of the anticipated construction cost of the project before advertising for bids. The private entity must solicit sealed bids from at least four contractors who are prequalified by the department to perform a contract of the anticipated dollar amount of the construction. The private entity is required to Award the contract to the besideder taking into account price and other criteria as provided in the bid documents. The private entity must obtains bonds from the selected contractor that provide the same coverage as performance and payment bonds issued under title 34, chapter 2, article 2. The private entity is required to use department construction standards and pay all costs of department reviews of the contract and inspections of the project.	nents include: The private entity must obtain a bond in an amount equal to one hundred twenty-five per cent of the anticipated construction cost of the project before advertising for bids. The private entity must solicit sealed bids from at least four contractors who are prequalified by the department to perform a contract of the anticipated dollar amount of the construction. The private entity is required to Award the contract to the be bidder taking into account price and other criteria as provide in the bid documents. The private entity must obtains bonds from the selected contractor that provide the same coverage as performance and payment bonds issued under title 34, chapter 2, article. The private entity is required to use department construction standards and pay all costs of department reviews of the contract and inspections of the project. In addition, current statute allows the Department to accept donations of land for transportation purposes; for the construction, improvement and maintenance of state highways or bridges; or for transportation construction
ne Arizona Department of Transportation to receive monies developer for use by the department for transportation		s from bidding ion projects wit nandates on a transportation		rents include: The private entity must obtain a bond in an amount one hundred twenty-five per cent of the anticipated construction cost of the project before advertising f	The private entity must obtain a bond in an amount econe hundred twenty-five per cent of the anticipated one hundred twenty-five per cent of the anticipated construction cost of the project before advertising for the private entity must solicit sealed bids from at leas contractors who are prequalified by the department to perform a contract of the anticipated dollar amount of construction.	uin a bond in ar r cent of the an lect before adv sit sealed bids t lified by the de tricipated dollar trice and other	uin a bond in ar r cent of the an ject before advo sit sealed bids f liftied by the de liftipated dollar d to Award the vrice and other ains bonds from same coverage under title 34,	uin a bond in arr cent of the an lect before advisit sealed bids filified by the deliticipated dollar do to Award the rice and other ame coverage under title 34, of department the project.	The private entity must obtain a bond in an amount equal private entity must obtain a bond in an amount equal one hundred twenty-five per cent of the anticipated construction cost of the project before advertising for the private entity must solicit sealed bids from at least contractors who are prequalified by the department to perform a contract of the anticipated dollar amount of construction. The private entity is required to Award the contract to bidder taking into account price and other criteria as p in the bid documents. The private entity must obtains bonds from the selecte contractor that provide the same coverage as perform and payment bonds issued under title 34, chapter 2, a The private entity is required to use department constructor and inspections of the project. In addition, current statute allows the Department to a donations of land for transportation purposes; for the construction, improvement and maintenance of state highways or bridges; or for transportation construction equipment.
	partment of T use by the	s exemptions d transportations oes impose man	struction of a t	struction of a triple in the project of the project	ity must obtain ity must obtain wenty-five per st of the proje ity must solicit or are prequalities act of the anti-	ity must obtain ity must obtain wenty-five per est of the project of are prequally act of the antification account priments.	ity must obtain the project of the project of the project of the antifact of the antifact of the antifact of the antifity is required into account priments. Ity must obtain the seconds is ments.	nents include: The private entity must obtain a bond is one hundred twenty-five per cent of the construction cost of the project before a construction cost of the project before be perform a contract of the anticipated deconstruction. The private entity is required to Award bidder taking into account price and oth in the bid documents. The private entity must obtains bonds for contractor that provide the same cover and payment bonds issued under title? The private entity is required to use del standards and pay all costs of departm contract and inspections of the project.	ity must obtain ity must obtain senty-five per est of the project of a sect of the anticact of the sections of the sections of the sections of the sections of the statute all not for transpolatovement articact of the sections of the statute all not for transpolatovement articact of the sections of the
	Arizona Depa veloper for	tute provides iles that fund in statute doe	ts include:	ts include: private entity hundred twe	ents include: The private entity one hundred twe construction cost The private entity contractors who contractors who construction.	ents include: The private entity mushe hundred twenty-fronstruction cost of the private entity mushractors who are perform a contract of construction. The private entity is redider taking into account the bid documents.	ts include: private entity hundred twe istruction cost form a contra form a contra form a contra form by private entity der taking into ne bid docum private entity tractor that pr private that pr prayment bor	ts include: pay for consults include: private entity than a contractors who is form a contractor who is private entity der taking into the bid docum. private entity tractor that private entity orderes and perivate entity orderes and perivate tract and inspirate.	nents include: The private entity one hundred twe construction cost perform a contractors who perform a contractors who perform a contractors who perform a contraction. The private entity bidder taking into in the bid docum and payment bor and payment bor and payment bor and payment and inspecontract and inspeconstruction, curredonations of land construction, imphighways or bridged equipment.
	Allows the A from a dev projects.	Current statu private entitie However, the chooses to p	requirements include:	requirements The one I cons	requirements The one I cons The control perforcens	requirements The one one one one one one one one one on	requirements The one l cons Control Performs The bidde in the control The and gand gand gand gand gand gand gand	requirements Theorems	requirements The one l cons cons cons bidde in the contract and parts The stanc contract contract and parts In add dona cons cons highy
	Chase P		<u>-</u>						
-	<u> </u>								
	HB 2791								
	ving								
enssi	ADOT; Receiving monies from developer								

Issue	Bill Number	Sponsor	Description	Status	Rec. Position
			ОТНЕЯЅ		
Local building construction; procedures	HB 2136	Nelson Blendu	Specifies that cities and towns must follow regulations outlined in title 34 relating to local building construction and procedures. An amendment was approved in the Senate Government Committee on March 16, 2006 that stated that "a notice shall be published by advertising in a newspaper of general circulation in the county in which the agent is located for two consecutive publications if it is a weekly newspaper or for two publications that are at least six but no more that ten days apart if it is a daily newspaper."	HOUSE FIRST READ: 1/11/06 SECOND READ: 1/12/06 Assigned: CMMA: DP 01/17/06 GRGFA: DPA 02/01/06 RULES: C&P 02/14/06 COW: DPA 2/16/06 Sent to Senate 2/20/06 Sent to Senate 2/20/06 SENATE FIRST READ: 2/21/06 SECOND READ: 2/28/06 Assigned: GOV: DPA 3/30/06 THIRD READING: 4/04/06 Sent to House 4/04/06 Passed House 4/12/06 Signed into Law by Governor 4/17/06	Support

enss	Bill Number	Sponsor	Description	Status	Rec. Position
Municipal Planning; Fees Disclosure Now: Development Fee; Capital Improvements Plan	HB 2381	Patton Bee	HB 2381 requires all planning fees collected and spent by a department to be made available, upon request by the legislatives body's planning department. A Strike Everything Amendment was added to the bill in the House GREGA Committee. The amendment represents a complete overhaul of the development impact fee process. MAG and cities are concerned about this legislation for two main reasons. First, the bill will preempt local authority to set construction sales tax rates at an amount higher than other tax rates. While most larger cities do not have a discrepancy in their construction sales tax rate to pay for enhanced infrastructure and other projects to support growth. Second, the legislation will require all cities to link their development fees to projects contained within a Captial Improvement Plan. The CIP requirement is truly the most troubling part because of the additional requirements that it places on the use of development impact fees.	HOUSE FIRST READ: 1/19/06 SECOND READ: 1/23/06 Assigned: CMIMA: W/D 2/14/06 GRGFA: DPA/SE 2/22/06 RULES: C&P 3/02/06 COW: DPA 3/09/06 THIRD READ: 3/13/06 Sent to Senate 3/14/06 Sent to Senate 3/14/06 SECOND READ: 3/16/06 Assigned: GAR: DPA 3/29/06 RULES: PFCA	Oppose

Committee Legend:

	Appropriations
APP-B	Appropriations - Boone
АРР-Р	Appropriations - Pearce
CED	Commerce and Economic Development
CMA	Counties, Municipalities and Military Affairs
COM	Commerce
COW	Committee of the Whole
ED	K-12 Education

ENV	Environment
FII	Financial Institutions and Insurance
FIN	Finance
FMPR	Federal Mandates and Property Rights
FS	Family Services
GAR	Government Accountability and Reform
GOV	Government
GRGFA	Government Reform and Govt Finance Accountability

뽀	Higher Education
HEA	Health
HS	Human Services
JUD	Judiciary
NRRA	Natural Resources and Rural Affairs
NRA	Natural Resources and Agriculture
PIR	Public Institutions and Retirement
RULES	Rules
S/E	Strike Everything
TRANS	Transportation
UCCT	Universities, Community Colleges and Technology
WM	Ways and Means
M/D	Withdrawn